Engineer's Report

in

Ditch Proceedings

Economic for complete ANERONY and the Electric

devide bibliografie and the second of the basis of the second of the sec

SECTION		(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	(4) No. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	(6) Character of Other Expenses, Including Prelim and Expense of Inspecting Works Until Co With Total per Section		(7) Total Estimated Cost per Section
						\$ CTS.		\$ CTS.	\$ CTS.
etween Stake No.	0	3,7	3,0	104					
and Stake No.	0+5-	4,8	••	12.6	. 5	75			73
etween Stake No.	0+14	5,5-	•	14,0	14	2210	24ft.of30" Gor. Iron. pipe	4140	43 50
and Stake No.	0+23	4,8	,,	12,6	14	: 210	, ,	-	2/0
etween Stake No.	/	4,0	"	11,0	1.05	1575			15-75
and Stake No.	7	3.8	•	10,6	100	1500			1000
etween Stake No.	3	3.7	4	10,4	92	1380			1380
and Stake No.	4	3.9	•	/0,8	96	1440			1440
etween Stake No.	<b>3</b> -	3,8	4	10,6	96	1440			1440
and Stake No.	6	3.8	•	10,6	96	1440			1440
etween Stake No	7	3.8	.,	10,6	96	1440			1440
and Stake No.	8	3.9	4	10,8	100	1500			1500
etween Stake No.	9	3.9	<b>&gt;</b>	10,8	/00	15-00			1500
$and\ Stake\ {\it No}.$	10	4,1		11.2	104	15-60		* -	15.6 6
Setween Stake No.	//	4,2	•	11.4	/08	1620			1620
and Stake No.	12	4.0	(	11.0	` /08	1620			1620
Setween Stake No.	13	3.9	ed innecessority	10,8	/60	1500			1500
and Stake No.	14	3,0		10,6	96	1440			1440
Retween Stake No.	15-	4,3	**	11,6	104	/3-4 o	Clearing etc.	150	17 10
and Stake No	16	4.3	·,	11.6	116 1.650	1740		150 4440	1890

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut. Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in.....

Ditch No. 5-6

SECTION		(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	(4) No. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	(6)  Character of Other Expenses, Including I and Expense of Inspecting Works U  With Total per Section	Preliminary Expenses ntil Completed	(7) Total Estimated Cost per Section
			AT BOLION			\$ CTS.		\$ CTS.	\$ CTS.
Setween Stake No	17	<b>3</b> .7	3.0	10,4	104	1560	Clearing etc.	/3-0	17 10
and Stake No.	18	3,9	',	10.8	96	14 40		150	13-90
Between Stake No.	19	3,9	,	10.8	/00	15-00	., ,,	- /5-5	1650
and Stake No.	20	3.8	•	10,6	96	1440	" "	150	15 90
Between Stake No.	21	3, 8		10,6	96	1440	"	/ 2 `0	1590
and Stake No.	22	3,7	**************************************	10,4	92	1380	et et	. 15-0	1530
Setween Stake No.	23	<i>3</i> ,7		10,4	92	13 80	" "	\ 4,0	15 30
$and\ Stake\ No.$	24	3,6	•	/°.2	88	. 13 20	, ,	1.50	14 70
Between Stake No.	21-	3,6	•	10,2	8 8	1320	" "	150	14 70
and Stake No.	26	3,6	nario Jeogra-Option	/0.2	88	/320			1320
Between Stake No	27	3,3	i koduju se postava se	9, 6	81	1215			1213-
and Stake No $\cdot$	28	3,3	•••	9,6	77	1155			1153
Between Stake No.	29	3,4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.8	77	// 53-			1/5-6
and Stake No.	30	3,7		10,4	88	1320			1320
Between Stake No.	3 /	3,5	and the second s	/0,0	88	1320			1320
and Stake No.	32	<i>3,</i> 3^	•	10.0	84	1260			1260
Between Stake No.	<i>3</i>	3,5	•	10.8	92	1380			1380
and Stake No.	3 <b>4</b>	<b>3</b> .:-		10,0	92	1380			1380
Between Stake No.	37-	3.5	TO THE STATE OF TH	10,0	8 ≮	1260			1260
and Stake No	36	3.3		/ 0. 0	84 1787	126 0 268,05		/3,50	1260

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$...

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in County

						and the second s			
	arran-un (1896) (1866) (1866) (1866) (1866) (1886) (1886) (1866) (1866) (1866) (1866) (1866) (1866) (1866) (18	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	. (5)	(6)	(7)	1
SECTION		DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	No. OF CUBIC YARDS  TO BE REMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Expenses and Expense of Inspecting Works Until Completed With Total per Section		l d Cost ction
						\$ CTS.	\$ CTS.	\$	CTS.
		4701			# 1				
Between Stake No.	37	3.2	3,0	9,4	77	1155		. //	<b>5</b> -5-
and Stake No.	38	3,4	**	9.8	77	1155		//	- ی-ی
Between Stake No.	39	3,3	Province of the state of the st	9,6	77	// ১૩-		11	- ۍ-ې
and Stake No.	40	3.4	4	9,8	. <i>77</i>	1155		//	ی-ی
Between Stake No.	41	3,2		9.4	77	1155		//	۔ی۔
and Stake $No.$	42	<i>3.2</i>		9,4	73	10 95		/ 0	95-
Between Stake No.	43	3.3	***************************************	9,6	73	1095-		/ 0	9.5-
and Stake No.	44	3.1	eritoria de la companya de la compa	9.2	73	1095		/ 8	93-
Between Stake No.	45	3.4	***************************************	9,8	77	// 373		//	ی-ی
and Stake No.	46	3.2	***************************************	9,4	77	1155		//	- ۍ-ې
Between Stake No	47	3,7	Water and the second se	9,4	7.3	1095		/ 0	9-5-
and Stake No.	48	3.7		9,4	73	1095		10	95-
Between Stake No.	49	3.7	•	9.4	7. <b>3</b>	1095		/ 0	90-
$and\ Stake\ No.$	ه`ی	3.2	•	9.4	7 <i>3</i>	1095		10	- ی ج
Between Stake No.	5-1	3,3		9.6	77	115-3-		//	- ی-ی
$and\ Stake\ No.$	52	<i>9</i> , 3	William Harding	9,6	, 2, <b>2</b> ,	// <del>5</del> ~s-		//	۔ ۍ^ی
Between Stake No.	53	3,4	-	9, 8	81	1213		12	15-
$and\ Stake\ No.$	154	3,4	••	9,8	81	1215		, /2	15-
Between Stake No.	ত ভ	3,3		9,6	77	1155	•	9,,	-ی-ی
and Stake No	56	3,3 _		9.6	ファ	11 55		1,	<u>ر</u> -ي
The contraction of the contracti					2392	35 8 80		\$ 35-8	୍ଚତ୍

Total Estimated Cost of Whole Work, \$.

Main Ditch. Sheet no 4.

## EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Country

Ditch No. 5-6.

	, 1118 — Dr. 11	mates Depth of G	ut, wiuth, 140.	or Gudic Turus I				
SECTION		(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	(4) No. OF CUBIC YARDS TO BE REMOVED	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard \$ CTS.	(6) Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Expenses and Expense of Inspecting Works Until Completed With Total per Section  CTS.	(7) Total Estimated Cost per Section \$ CTS.
						, 0.0.		
Between Stake No	57	3.4	3,0	10,0	81	/2 /5-		1215
and Stake No.	5-8	3,4	4	9,6	8/	12 15		1213-
Between Stake No.	59	3,3	•	9,4	77	113-3		115-5-
and Stake No.	60	3,5	4	9, 6	. 77	// 53-		1155
Between Stake No.	6/	3,3	"	9,6	77	//5-5-		// ৩°৩-
and Stake No.	62	3,3	••	9,6	77	11-5-5-		1150-
Between Stake No.	63	3,3	4	9.8	77	// ५७-		11 5-3-
$and\ Stake\ No.$	64	3,3	"	9,6	, 77	1155		1155-
Between Stake No.	65-	3,3	4	9,6	77	// 5-5		11 53-
$and\ Stake\ No.$	66	3,3	4	9,6	77	1155		٠٠ ۍ-ی
Between Stake No	67	3,4	4	10.0	81	12 13		1215-
and Stake No.	68	3,5-	4	10,0	84	1260		1260
Between Stake No.	69	<i>3</i> ,s <sup>-</sup>	•	9,8	84	1260		1260
$and\ Stake\ No.$	フロ	3,4	•	9, B	8/	12 15		1215-
Between Stake No.	7/	3, 4	٠,	9,8	8/	12/3		1215-
and Stake No.	フス	3,4	••	9. <b>8</b>	81	12 15-		1215-
Between Stake No.	73	3,5-	4	10,0	84	1260		1260
$and\ Stake\ No.$	74	3,4	•	9,8	8/	1215		1215-
Between Stake No.	75-	3,4	a.	9.8	8/	1215		1215
and Stake No	76	3,4		9,8	61 13-97	1215-		12 15-

main Litch Sheet no. 5-

#### EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in...

$\sim$	
(Dr	inty
	nog
21	Y

Ditch No. 56

SECTION		(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT	(3) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	(4) No. OF CUBIC YARDS	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	(6) Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Expenses and Expense of Inspecting Works Until Completed With Total per Section	(7) Total Estimated Cost per Section
			AT BOTTOM	AT TOP	TO BE REMOVED	\$ CTS.	\$ CTS.	(†
etween Stake No	77	3,4	3,0	9.8	81	12 15-		1213-
and Stake No.	78	3,4	• •	9.8	81	12 15		12 15-
Setween Stake No.	79	3,4	•	9,8	8/	1215-		1215
and Stake No.	80	3,3	Disposition of the state of the	9,6	. 77	1155		// 5-5-
Between Stake No.	81	3, <i>2</i>	,,	9,4	73	1095	www.nest.com	1095
and Stake No.	82	3./	•	9.2	70	1050		1050
Setween Stake No.	83	3.2	•/	9,4	7.0	/05-0		1050
and Stake No.	84	3.1	••	9,2	70	/os-0		٥-ى ه /
etween Stake No.	85-	3,0	•	9.0	<b>6</b> 7	1005		/0 05-
and Stake No.	86	3,0	•	9,0	<b>6</b> 7	/ 0 0 5	-	1001-
etween Stake No	. 87	3,0		9,0	<b>6</b> 7	/004		/004-
and Stake No.	88	3,0	C C	9.0	67	/004-		/001-
Setween Stake No.	89	<i>۲.9</i>	Primary regis	8,8	67	10057		1004-
and Stake No.	90	3,0	·	9,0	67	/0 04		1004-
etween Stake No.	91	3, 0	inpulliple de l'imperior d	9,0	67	1004-		1005
and Stake No.	92	3,0	1	9,0	67	/004-		. 1005-
etween Stake No.	93	3,0	•-	9, 0	67	/004-		'ک ہ ۱۵
$and\ Stake\ No.$	94	2.6	· On Annual Control of	P. 2	٥ ک	900		900
Between Stake No.	95	2,1	and constitution of the second	8,0	<b>5</b> -1	7 60		765
and Stake No	96	2.0	about a constant of the consta	7. 0	42 135-9	6 8 6 2 ° 3.85		630 \$203,85

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in....

County

Ditch No. 56.

SECTION	(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT	(3) WIDTH OF CUT	(4) No. OF CUBIC YARDS	(5) Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	(6) Character of Other Expenses, Including Prand Expense of Inspecting Works Untwith Total per Section	eliminary Expenses il Completed	(7) Total Estimated Cost per Section
		AT BOTTOM	· A'T TOP	TO BE REMOVED	\$ CTS.	With Total per Section	\$ CTS.	\$ CTS.
Between Stake No. 94+88	3 2.8	3,0	8.6	44	660			660
and Stake No. 97	2.8	•/	8.6	7	105			105-
Between Stake No. 98	1, 0	4	<b>5</b> ,0	34	ں ر کی			٠ / ٥
and Stake No. 99	1.1		5,2	. / 5-	2 20			<b>ス</b> ス ス ζ -
Retween Stake No. / 0 o /00/0/2 and Stake No. 19600	0	••	<i>ح</i> , ٥	115	17 20			<u>225</u> 1725
Between Stake No. 12	0,0	14,0	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O			•		
and Stake No. /2	/ 2,/	- Volumental and a second and a	18,2	61	9 1.5-			915-
Between Stake No. 12.	? 2.1	•	18,2	125-	1875	•		1875-
and Stake No. 12	3 2,1	4	18.2	125	18 75-			1875-
Between Stake No. 12	g 2,1	•	18.2	125	1875			18 74-
and Stake No. 123	5- 2,1	Annie de Caracteria de Caracte	/8.2	1 2 J,-	1875			1875-
Between Stake No. 12 (	2.1	A A LEGIS AND A STATE OF THE ST	18,2	12J-	1874	•		187J-
and Stake No. 12	7 2,3	**************************************	18.6	132	1980			1980
Between Stake No. 12	9 2.1	4	18.2	125-	1870-		Acceptable and the second seco	18 75-
and Stake No. 129	2 2.0	• ,	18.0	. /22	1830			1830
Between Stake No. /3	0 7.0		18,0	123	1840-			1845
and Stake No. 13	1 2.0	"	18.0	/ 2 3	1845-			18 45-
Between Stake No. 13,	۲ 1,8	•	17.6	1/2	1680			1680
and Stake No 133	3 1,9		17.8	108	16 20			1620 B24690

Main Ditch Shut No.7.

#### EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Louisty Ditch No. 56

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4	.)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
SECTION		DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CU	BIC YARDS EMOVED	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Expenses And Expense of Inspecting Work until Completed With Total Per Section	Total Estimated ( per Secti	Cost
					yels		D\$ /5 CTS.	\$ CTS	\$	стѕ.
		ACCOUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH			yers deducted					М-напромантиргы
tween Stake No.	133	1.9	14.0	. 17.8	-	-				and control of the co
$and\ Stake\ {\it No}.$	134	1.7		17.4		106	15.90		15	90
tween Stake No.	135	1.7		17.4		99	J4.85		14	85
$and\ Stake\ No.$	136	1.8	A.	17.6		102	15.30		15	30
tween Stake No.	137	1.6	***	17.2	-	99	14.85		14	85
and Stake No.	138	2.0	We desired the second s	18.0		105	15.75		. 15	75
tween Stake No.	139	1.9	or o	17.8	14	97	14.55	. 🗸	14	55
$and\ Stake\ No.$	140	2.0	**	18.0	14	97	14.55		14	55
tween Stake No.	141	2.2	REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	18.4	16	109	16.35			35
and Stake $No.$	14/2	2.7	TO CANADA	19.4	/8	132	19.80		19	80
tween Stake No.	143	2.6		19.2	20	143	21.45		21	45
$and\ Stake\ No.$	144	2.6	AND CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT	19.2	19	141	21.13			15
tween Stake No.	+50	4.3		ZZ.6	26	85	12.90			75
and Stake No.	145	2.2	Andrews (III (III (III (III (III (III (III (I	184	24	80	/2.00			00
tween Stake No.	146	1.8		17.6	15	103	15.40		Control of the Contro	45
and Stake No.	147	1.6		17.2	/3	84	12.60			60
tween Stake No.	148	1.6	**  (2)	17.2	12	80	12.00			,00
and Stake No.	149	1.6	THE STATE OF THE S	17.2	/2	80	12.00			00
tween Stake No.	10-0	1.5	• •	17.0	//	78	11.70		11	70
and Stake No.	151	1.6	14.0	17.2	//	18	411.70		11	-
		1				1898	#284.70		284	

Total Etimated Cost of Whole Work, \$....

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in County Ditch No. 56

SECTION		(1) DEPTH OF CUT	(2) WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	( <b>3</b> ) WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	No. OF CU	4) BIC YARDS EMOVED	(5) Estimated Per Cubic \$ /5	Yard	(6) Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary and Expense of Inspecting Works Until Complete With Total per Section	reliminary Expenses til Completed \$ CTS.		l l Cost tion CTS.
	and the second s				yds deducted		Cost Pe Station			BERTAL AND		
Between Stake No.	152	1.9	14.0	17.8	13	89	13	35		ten ajan sennen pippere	13	35
and Stake No.	153	2.3	"	18.6	16	109	16	35		· Collision of the coll	16	35
Between Stake No.	154	5.9	. "	25.8	114	161	24	15			24	- 15
and Stake No.	+70	10.2	•	34.4	270	194	29	10			29	10
Between Stake No.	+90	7.0		28.0	80	64	9	60	*	TO TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	9	60
and Stake No.	155	7.0		1 20 7	. •	123	18	45	34 fect of 48" Cor Iron Pipe	98 20	116	65
Between Stake No.	+14	3.0	• • •	. )		, , ,				100 mm		
and Stake No.	+50	2.9	* •	13.8	7	60	9	00			9	00
Between Stake No.	156	<i>3</i> .3		20.6	9	189	18	35		TATEL ANTIQUES	28	35
and Stake No.	157	2.8	•	19.6	17	176	26	40		Application have	26	40
Between Stake No	158	3.1	•	20.2	18	167	25	05		174	25	05
and Stake No.	159	3.1	** ▼ ▼	20.2	19	177	26	-دی			26	55
Between Stake No.	160	2.9	•	11.8	17	172	25	80		to a till to t	25	80
and Stake No.	161	2.7	•	19.4	15	159	13	85			23	85
Between Stake No.	162	1.9	₩ <b>\</b>	17.8	12.	127	19	05			19	05
and Stake No.	163	1.9	• •	17.8	12	96		40				40
Between Stake No.					•	2063	\$309	45			# 407	65
$and\ Stake\ No.$												
Between Stake No.					-					panera va mana tagipigaga		
and Stake No			A CONTROL OF THE CONT					and the second		100 kilosofyndistra		.]